

## Response to Reviewers and Editorial Comments Form

**Journal:** *Systemy Logistyczne Wojsk / Military Logistics Systems*

**Form purpose:** To provide a point-by-point response to editorial and reviewer comments and to indicate all revisions made in the manuscript.

### Important instructions for authors

Please submit this form together with the revised manuscript.

The response should be detailed, factual and polite. Authors should respond to **each** comment raised by the Editorial Office and reviewers. If a comment has not been addressed, the authors must clearly explain the reason.

The revised manuscript should be submitted in accordance with the Editorial Office's instructions, preferably as:

- a revised manuscript with changes marked, where requested;
- a clean version of the revised manuscript, where requested;
- this completed response form.

Substantial additions, deletions or restructuring of the manuscript should be clearly explained in the response form. Large parts of the manuscript should not be removed or rewritten without explanation.

Failure to provide a complete response to editorial or reviewer comments within the deadline specified by the Editorial Office may result in suspension of the procedure, closure of the submission or administrative rejection of the manuscript, in accordance with SLW policy.

Because SLW applies a double-blind peer review model, the response intended for reviewers should not disclose the authors' identities, affiliations or any other identifying information.

### Part A. Administrative information

**For Editorial Office use only. This part should not be forwarded to reviewers if it may reveal author identity.**

**Manuscript ID:**

.....

**Manuscript title:**

.....

**Corresponding author:**

.....

**Date of resubmission:**

.....

**Round of revision:**

First revision

Second revision

Further revision: .....

**Files submitted with this response:**

- Revised manuscript with changes marked
- Clean version of revised manuscript
- Response to reviewers and editorial comments form
- Additional files / supplementary materials: .....

**Part B. General response**

**Anonymised response for the Editorial Office and reviewers**

Dear Editor,

We thank the Editorial Office and the reviewers for their careful assessment of our manuscript and for their constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript accordingly. Detailed point-by-point responses are provided below.

Where changes have been made, we indicate the relevant section, page, paragraph, table or figure in the revised manuscript.

**Part C. Summary of major revisions**

Please briefly summarise the most important changes made in the revised manuscript.

.....

.....

.....

Examples of major revisions may include changes to the research aim, methodology, literature review, results, discussion, conclusions, figures, tables, references, language, structure or ethical/data/AI statements.

**Part D. Response to Editorial Office comments**

<b>Comment no.</b>	<b>Editorial Office comment</b>	<b>Author response</b>	<b>Changes made in the manuscript</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
E1				
E2				
E3				

**Part E. Response to Reviewer 1**

<b>Comment no.</b>	<b>Reviewer 1 comment</b>	<b>Author response</b>	<b>Changes made in the manuscript</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
R1.1				

<b>Comment no.</b>	<b>Reviewer 1 comment</b>	<b>Author response</b>	<b>Changes made in the manuscript</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
R1.2				
R1.3				
R1.4				

**Part F. Response to Reviewer 2**

<b>Comment no.</b>	<b>Reviewer 2 comment</b>	<b>Author response</b>	<b>Changes made in the manuscript</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
R2.1				
R2.2				
R2.3				
R2.4				

**Part G. Response to additional reviewer, if applicable**

<b>Comment no.</b>	<b>Reviewer comment</b>	<b>Author response</b>	<b>Changes made in the manuscript</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
R3.1				
R3.2				
R3.3				

**Part H. Changes not directly requested by reviewers**

Please list any additional changes made by the authors that were not directly requested by the reviewers or the Editorial Office.

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description of additional change</b>	<b>Reason for change</b>	<b>Location in revised manuscript</b>
1			
2			
3			

**Part I. Statements updated during revision**

Please indicate whether any of the following statements were added, revised or confirmed during revision.

Statement	No change	Added	Revised	Comment
Author contributions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Funding statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Conflict of interest statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Data availability statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Use of AI tools statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ethics approval / consent statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Third-party materials / permissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
References	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Part J. Use of AI tools during revision**

Please complete this section if generative AI or automated tools were used during the revision of the manuscript.

- No generative AI tools were used during revision.
- Generative AI tools were used only for basic language, grammar, punctuation, style or formatting support.
- Generative AI tools were used beyond basic language correction, editing or formatting, and the use has been disclosed in the manuscript.

If disclosure is required, please provide the tool name, version, purpose of use and scope of human verification:

.....  
 .....

The authors confirm that all AI-assisted outputs were verified by the authors and that AI tools were not used to fabricate data, generate non-existent sources, conceal plagiarism, manipulate results or replace scholarly judgement.

**Part K. Confirmation by the corresponding author**

I confirm that:

- all reviewer and editorial comments have been addressed or a reasoned explanation has been provided;
- all changes made in the revised manuscript are accurately reflected in this response form;
- all co-authors have approved the revised manuscript;
- all co-authors have approved this response to reviewers and editorial comments;

the revised manuscript complies with SLW policies on publication ethics, originality, AI use, research data, copyright, licensing and author responsibility.

**Corresponding author:**

.....

**Date:**

.....

**Signature / electronic acceptance:**

.....