

# Requirements for Book and Monograph Reviews

**Journal: “Systemy Logistyczne Wojsk” / “Military Logistics Systems” (SLW)**

*Version: 2026. Applicable from issue 1/2026.*

## 1. Purpose of this document

This document sets out the requirements for preparing reviews of books, monographs, research reports and other significant expert publications submitted to SLW.

A book or monograph review should present and critically assess a publication relevant to logistics, logistics systems, supply chain security, defence, crisis management or other areas consistent with the scope of SLW.

## 2. Publication status of reviews

A book or monograph review is a review or editorial material. It is not a standard scholarly article, original research article or review article.

Book and monograph reviews are assessed by the Editorial Office. The Editorial Office may request additional expert assessment if required by the nature of the publication or the thematic scope of the review.

The indexing of book and monograph reviews depends on the policies of individual databases, indexing services and metadata used for the relevant publication type.

## 3. Scope of reviewed publications

- scholarly books and single-author or co-authored monographs;
- multi-author monographs and edited volumes;
- research, analytical or expert reports of significant relevance to the scope of SLW;
- publications concerning military logistics, crisis logistics, economic logistics, logistics systems, resilience, readiness, supply chains, transport, infrastructure, logistics-supporting technologies, cyber-resilience of logistics or space-enabled logistics.

As a rule, the reviewed publication should be current. Publications issued in the current year or in the two years preceding the planned publication of the review are preferred. The Editorial Office may accept a review of an older publication if its scholarly or practical significance justifies such a decision.

## 4. Language and length

- The review should be prepared in English, unless the Editorial Office decides otherwise.
- British English is preferred.
- The recommended length is between 8,000 and 15,000 characters with spaces.
- The review should be submitted in .doc or .docx format and should follow SLW editorial rules.

## 5. Bibliographic details of the reviewed publication

The review should begin with full bibliographic details of the reviewed publication:

- author(s) / editor(s);
- full title of the publication;
- publisher;
- place of publication, where applicable;
- year of publication;
- number of pages;
- ISBN, eISBN, DOI or another identifier, where assigned;
- language of the publication;
- publication type, e.g. monograph, edited volume, research report.

## 6. Recommended review structure

No.	Element	Content
1	Introduction	Brief presentation of the publication, its context and relevance to the scope of SLW.
2	Aim and scope	Discussion of the aim, main assumptions, research problem and temporal, territorial or subject scope, where applicable.
3	Structure of the publication	Description of chapters, structure logic and the way the argument is developed.
4	Scholarly approach	Assessment of research methods, sources, literature, data, clarity of analysis and verifiability of conclusions.
5	Substantive content	Assessment of key claims, findings, solutions, limitations and the publication's contribution to knowledge and practice.
6	Relevance to logistics	Explanation of how the publication contributes to logistics, logistics systems, supply chains, defence or crisis management.
7	Strengths and weaknesses	Balanced indication of the publication's strengths and limitations, gaps or issues requiring attention.

No.	Element	Content
8	Summary and recommendation	Overall assessment and recommendation for potential readers: researchers, practitioners, students, public administration or the military.

## 7. Impartiality and conflicts of interest

The review author should disclose any actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest related to the reviewed publication, its author, editor, publisher or funding institution.

A conflict of interest may include, in particular, shared affiliation, recent scholarly cooperation, co-authorship, hierarchical relationship, participation in the same project, personal relationship, scholarly competition or financial interest.

If a conflict of interest exists, the review author should describe it in the final part of the text. The Editorial Office decides whether the review may be published.

## 8. Integrity and style of the review

- The review should be factual, balanced, critical and constructive.
- The assessment should address the publication's content, method, argumentation, findings and relevance, not the person of the author.
- Personal, discourteous or unjustified comments should be avoided.
- Quotations from the reviewed publication should be short, properly marked and justified.
- All additional sources used in the review should be listed in the references according to the SLW style.

## 9. Final statements

The review should include relevant final statements, where applicable:

- Conflict of Interest Statement - a statement that no conflict exists or a description of the conflict;
- Funding Statement - information on funding for the preparation of the review or a statement that no funding was received;
- Use of AI Tools - information on the use of generative AI tools if such use went beyond basic language correction or formatting;
- Acknowledgements - where justified.

## 10. Review submission

The review should be submitted in accordance with the instructions of the Editorial Office. The Editorial Office may request completion, shortening, language correction or clarification of the text before publication.

Submission of a review does not guarantee publication. The final decision is made by the SLW Editorial Office.